

Henry Allen & Son
FURNISHING
UNDERTAKERS
88 Main St.
Lady Assistant when requested

GEO. A. DAVIS

Now is a Good Time
To Stock Up
On Your Glassware

We have a good Water
Tumbler for 50c per dozen.
A thin Optic Glass Tumbler
for 75c per dozen.

Handsome Etched Glass
Tumblers and Water Jugs at
very reasonable prices.

We are making a SPECIAL
PRICE for a few days on
ONE THREE-PINT ETCHED
GLASS JUG and SIX
ETCHED TUMBLERS for
only

One Dollar
(\$1.00) the set.

This is good value for your
dollar.

Iced Tea Glasses, Sherbet
Glasses and Finger Bowls.

GEO. A. DAVIS, 25 Broadway

FAMOUS FURS
Ladies Fur Coats and Sets.
Men's Coats of all styles.
Remodeling and repairing also
done. Superior styles.

M. BRUCKNER 81 Franklin St.

Drs. L. F. and A. J. LAPIERRE
287 Main St.
PRACTICE LIMITED TO
Eye, Ear, Nose and Throat
Hours 10 a. m. to 3 p. m.

Most Cigars are Good—
THESE ARE BETTER
TOM'S 1-2-3 5c CIGAR
GOOD FELLOW 10c CIGAR
Try them and see.
THOS. M. SHEA, Prop., Franklin St.
Next to Palace Cafe

G. A. R. VETERANS WILL
SEE KENSINGTON MONUMENT

When They Go to Department Em-
campment This Week at New Brit-
ain.

One of the interesting features of
the coming of the Connecticut G. A. R.
encampment at New Britain this
week will be the first soldiers' monument
erected in the country to com-
memorate the memory of those who
died in the Civil War. The monument
was erected in Kensington in 1882, two
years before the Civil war was ended.
The veterans of this war will also
look upon the large flag made by the
women of Kensington on Monday,
April 14, two days after Sumter was
fired upon. Both are results of the
patriotic spirit stirred up by the Rev.
Elias B. Hillard, then pastor of the
church.

Samuel Upson, postmaster at Ken-
sington, appeared at the service in
the Kensington church on Sunday
morning, April 12, and announced that
Port Sumter was fired upon the day
before. Rev. Mr. Hillard put aside his
sermon and delivered a patriotic ad-
dress. The next afternoon the women
of the village met and sewed who now
historic flag. There were no sewing
machines then and the massive banner
is a hand-stitched product. When
completed it was hung to the breeze in
front of the church.

The soldiers' monument was un-
veiled in the summer of 1883, just at
the time when the Confederacy had
some of its most notable victories. At
the suggestion of Rev. Mr. Hillard, a
public subscription fund was raised. N. A. Moore designed the shaft and the
contract for the monument, which is
approximately 43 feet high. It was cut
out from the brownstone quarry at
Portland and was hauled to Ken-
sington by oxen. The occasion of
the dedication was a memorable one
for Kensington.

STATE EMPLOYMENT BUREAU.
Found Jobs in March for 47.4 Per
Cent. of Applicants for Them.

Harford, April 13.—The total num-
ber of persons applying during the
month of March at the free pub-
lic employment bureau for employ-
ment was 1,427. The situations se-
cured were 681, or 47.4 per cent. of
the total number applying as against
4.8 per cent. during the preceding
month. The figures for the five bu-
reau, announced by the state bureau
of labor statistics, follow:
Harford—Applications for employ-
ment, 868; for help, 101; situations se-
cured, 166.
New Haven—Applications for em-
ployment, 347; for help, 180; situa-
tions secured, 139.
Bridgeport—Applications for em-
ployment, 343; for help, 233; situa-
tions secured, 207.
Waterbury—Applications for em-
ployment, 319; for help, 175; situa-
tions secured, 143.
Norwich—Applications for employ-
ment, 60; for help, 40; situations se-
cured, 22.

Went to Jail.
George Pappas who was arrested on
Saturday night at the store of the
Brooklyn Outfitters after he had been
seen to shift his own old coat for a
new one from the rack was fined in
his court on Monday. As he was
not able to pay his whole bill of \$10.24
he went to jail.

The Bulletin

Norwich, Wednesday, April 14, 1915.

THE WEATHER.

Forecast for Today.

For New England: Fair Wednesday
and Thursday; warmer Thursday.
Predictions from the New York Her-
ald: On Wednesday it will be clear
and continued cold, with fresh to light
westerly winds, followed by rising
temperatures.
The outlook for Thursday is gener-
ally fair and warmer.

Observations in Norwich.

The following records, reported from
the pharmacy, show the changes in
temperature and the barometric
changes Tuesday:

7 a. m.	Ther. Bar.
12 m.	54 30.23
6 p. m.	55 30.28
Highest 62, lowest 41.	

Predictions for Tuesday: Fair.

Tuesday's weather: As predicted.

Sun, Moon and Tides.

Day.	a. m.	p. m.	a. m.	a. m.
12	5.15	6.28	7.58	4.11
13	5.10	6.22	7.52	4.05
14	5.12	6.24	7.57	4.08
15	5.10	6.22	7.55	4.06
16	5.08	6.20	7.53	4.04
17	5.07	6.19	7.51	4.03
18	5.05	6.17	7.49	4.01

Six hours after high water it is low
tide, which is followed by flood tide.

GREENEVILLE

Holy Name Society of St. Mary's
Church Held Smoker—Personal.

The Senior Holy Name society of St.
Mary's church, conducted a very suc-
cessful smoker and evening of enjoy-
ment in Pulaski hall on Tuesday even-
ing.

The evening's programme opened
with a choice set of selections on the
Edison disc talking machine, loaned
through the courtesy of the Porteus &
Mitchell company and operated by Ed-
ward Walsh.

President Michael J. Curran opened
the programme's course by bidding the
large audience welcome and making
them feel at home. He then called on
Rev. John H. Fitzmaurice, chaplain of
the society, who congratulated the so-
ciety on its strength and the grand
work which their united action was
bringing about in the parish. He com-
mended the smoker and programme ar-
ranged as a social gathering for an
evening enjoyment.

John Crawford followed with a story
of the Irish rebel, told in poetry, and
his reputation as an entertainer was
not dimmed with advancing years.
Francis P. McNamara was heard in
funny anecdotes and an entertainer
in the society in the grand movement
of fostering monthly holy communion.

Thomas P. McGuffin, president of
the society, then gave a solo, "Though
You Have Gotten a Heart of Gold."
Remodeling and repairing also done
surely. Superior styles.

M. BRUCKNER 81 Franklin St.

Drs. L. F. and A. J. LAPIERRE
287 Main St.
PRACTICE LIMITED TO
Eye, Ear, Nose and Throat
Hours 10 a. m. to 3 p. m.

Most Cigars are Good—
THESE ARE BETTER
TOM'S 1-2-3 5c CIGAR
GOOD FELLOW 10c CIGAR
Try them and see.
THOS. M. SHEA, Prop., Franklin St.
Next to Palace Cafe

G. A. R. VETERANS WILL
SEE KENSINGTON MONUMENT

When They Go to Department Em-
campment This Week at New Brit-
ain.

One of the interesting features of
the coming of the Connecticut G. A. R.
encampment at New Britain this
week will be the first soldiers' monument
erected in the country to com-
memorate the memory of those who
died in the Civil War. The monument
was erected in Kensington in 1882, two
years before the Civil war was ended.
The veterans of this war will also
look upon the large flag made by the
women of Kensington on Monday,
April 14, two days after Sumter was
fired upon. Both are results of the
patriotic spirit stirred up by the Rev.
Elias B. Hillard, then pastor of the
church.

The soldiers' monument was un-
veiled in the summer of 1883, just at
the time when the Confederacy had
some of its most notable victories. At
the suggestion of Rev. Mr. Hillard, a
public subscription fund was raised. N. A. Moore designed the shaft and the
contract for the monument, which is
approximately 43 feet high. It was cut
out from the brownstone quarry at
Portland and was hauled to Ken-
sington by oxen. The occasion of
the dedication was a memorable one
for Kensington.

STATE EMPLOYMENT BUREAU.
Found Jobs in March for 47.4 Per
Cent. of Applicants for Them.

Harford, April 13.—The total num-
ber of persons applying during the
month of March at the free pub-
lic employment bureau for employ-
ment was 1,427. The situations se-
cured were 681, or 47.4 per cent. of
the total number applying as against
4.8 per cent. during the preceding
month. The figures for the five bu-
reau, announced by the state bureau
of labor statistics, follow:
Harford—Applications for employ-
ment, 868; for help, 101; situations se-
cured, 166.
New Haven—Applications for em-
ployment, 347; for help, 180; situa-
tions secured, 139.
Bridgeport—Applications for em-
ployment, 343; for help, 233; situa-
tions secured, 207.
Waterbury—Applications for em-
ployment, 319; for help, 175; situa-
tions secured, 143.
Norwich—Applications for employ-
ment, 60; for help, 40; situations se-
cured, 22.

Went to Jail.
George Pappas who was arrested on
Saturday night at the store of the
Brooklyn Outfitters after he had been
seen to shift his own old coat for a
new one from the rack was fined in
his court on Monday. As he was
not able to pay his whole bill of \$10.24
he went to jail.

GAGER
Funeral Director
and Embalmer
Prompt service day or night
Tel. 642-2. Lady Assistant

FINANCIAL EFFORT AGAINST SEPARATION

Bill to Form Old Norwich is Now in Hands of Committee—
Citizens From All Ranks Testify That Town's Sentiment
is Against Separation—Letter From Judge Thayer of
Supreme Court and Telegram From Judge Greene of
Superior Court.

The committee on new towns and
probate districts gave a third and
final hearing Tuesday afternoon in the
old senate chamber in the state capitol
on the petition of Frank B. Rick-
etson for the incorporation of a new
town to be called Old Norwich within
the limits of the present town of Nor-
wich.

Produced Official Records.
Corporation Counsel Joseph T. Fan-
ning presented to the committee a
copy of the official records concerning
the annexation of a part of Preston
to the town or city of Norwich, show-
ing a vote of the court of common
council against the proposition. He also
referred to the annexation of Sunnyside,
the records of the city showing a
record of the court of common council
against the proposition. The Norwich Board
of Trade reported against any annexa-
tion of the part of the town except
Sunnyside and Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and these places were men-
tioned by residents of these sections
favoring annexation. Tatfield and
Yantic were not included. Mr. Fanning
then recited in detail the action of
the town, the publicity given the
matter and the legislative procedure
that followed. The title of corpora-
tion counsel on the subject of the
separation of the town of Norwich
from the city of Norwich, as they were
covered by the action of the Board of Trade.

Never Favored Annexing Tatfield.
At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

At that time, in 1912, there was a
petition to annex Norwich Town and
Bean Hill, and Mr. Fanning suggested
that he was before the committee and
declared that the city and the Board
of Trade were opposed to consolidation
and that matter was disposed of. At-
torney Carpenter had stated that Mr.
Fanning had been before the committee
and had been asked to support the
annexation of Tatfield.

tenements of the Ponemah mills and
could not find anyone who favored sepa-
ration. Station Agent Hermon J. Gibbs
of Yantic said he canvassed the names of
those who signed the petition and
found the sentiment in Yantic still in
favor of separation. He favored Yantic
being a separate town or borough.
Mr. Gibbs said he was not a direct
taxpayer, but he was a voter.
William Palmer authorized the state-
ment that he was opposed to division
of the town of Norwich.

Mr. Carpenter introduced the town
and city books to show indebtedness.
Advertising for annexation, list of tax-
payers to show an injustice to the
residents of the town. New section
was added to the bill, so that offi-
cers of corporations can hold office
without reference to residence or loca-
tion of the institution. Mr. Carpenter
in response to a query from Mr. Alcorn
said he was satisfied that the party
who inserted the legislative advertise-
ment was a resident of the city of Nor-
wich, but he did not know for sure
just who it was, or whether it was
Clifford H. Pichler.

The matter was closed with this
hearing, as no arguments are to be
made by counsel.

ANTI-SEPARATION ARGUMENTS MAKE STRONG IMPRESSION.

Opponents of Bill Satisfied They Have
Made Out a Strong Case.

After the committee hearing at the
capitol on Tuesday, the opponents of
the bill to create the town of Old Nor-
wich, many of those returning from the
hearing expressed the belief that both
the mass and the matter of the evi-
dence presented by the opponents to
division of the town had completely
ridiculed the case that had been pre-
sented by those favoring division and
one Norwich man pointed out what he
considered the most important argu-
ments of the measure were weaken-
ed.

This was in a suggestion made by
Attorney Carpenter that the ad-
vocates of the proposed new town
might be willing to change the line so
as to run it around to leave the back-
stop hospital property in Norwich.
To this, Attorney A. A. Browning
for the town suggested why not make
another change in the line so as to
leave Norwich its reservoir property
and the United Workers institution at
Norwich town.

The telegram of remonstrance
against any change sent by Judge
Gardner Green of the superior court,
also Judge of the superior court, was
the letter of similar tenor from
Judge John M. Thayer of the supreme
court were looked upon as calculated
to make a strong impression on the
consideration of the committee.

Chamber of Commerce Resolutions.
The resolutions passed by Norwich
Chamber of Commerce, which were
presented by the committee were also
listened to with much attention.

Opposing Separation.
Among those present opposing sepa-
ration were: Joseph T. Fanning, Amos A. Browning,
Edwin T. Higgins, William H. Shields,
Marjory Murphy, Frank R. King of the
board of trade, Herbert R. Branch,
and Charles I. Smith of the Chamber
of Commerce, Charles S. Holbrook,
Charles W. Conant, Representative
George A. J. Bailey, Judge T. J. Kelly,
Thomas A. Robinson, Howard L. Stan-
ton, John D. Guffy, Cornelius J.
Dowries, John J. Corry, Thomas
Cocoran, Henry Gebraht, Stephen J.
Kehoe, John D. Hall, John Donovan,
Lucius Brown, Allyn R. Brown, and
L. Linnell, Arthur D. Lathrop, George
Loring, A. W. Lillibridge, William B.
Willard, and others.

The invitation to visit Norwich and
look over the situation has not yet
been accepted by the committee.

SAVINGS BANKS DEPOSITS
GAINED \$3,357,500.18
In Year Up to April 1st—Surplus De-
creased \$3,003.40.

The monthly statement